	Case 2:24-cv-01191-DAD-CKD Docume	ent 17	Filed 05/12/25	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4 5				
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	TOR THE ENGLEM OF CHEMORAM			
11	CEDRIGUEZ MCCAA,	l No	o. 2:24-cv-1191-DA	AD-CKD P
12	Plaintiff,		RDER VACATING	
13	V.		ECOMMENDATIO	
14		<u>Al</u>	<u>ND</u>	
15	FCI-HERLONG, et al.,		MENDED FINDING	
16	Defendants.	KE	ECOMMENDATIO	<u>N5</u>
17				
18	Plaintiff is a federal prisoner proceeding in forma pauperis and without counsel with a			
19	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 7, 2025, the undersigned screened			
20	plaintiff's original complaint filed in this action as required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a) and			
21	determined plaintiff stated a deliberate indifference claim against defendant Allred and no other			
22	claims. (ECF No. 13.) Plaintiff was ordered to notify the court whether plaintiff elected to			
23	proceed on the complaint as screened or file an amended complaint. (Id. at 5-7.) Plaintiff did not			
24	file an amended complaint and failed to respond to that order within the time granted.			
25	Accordingly, on April 9, 2025, the undersigned recommended this action be dismissed without			
26	prejudice for failure to prosecute. (ECF No. 15.)			
27	Subsequently, plaintiff filed a document captioned "Extension of Time" in which			
28	plaintiff objects to the findings and recommendations filed on April 9, 2025. (ECF No. 16.)			

Plaintiff also requests a 60-day extension of time to prepare a supplemental brief with "added findings to prosecute respondents" for various violations of plaintiff's rights. (<u>Id.</u> at 1.)

Based on plaintiff's objection to the dismissal of this case for failure to prosecute, the undersigned will vacate the recommendation to dismiss on that ground. Plaintiff's request for an extension of time to file a supplemental brief will be denied as unnecessary because there is no issue before the court in need of supplemental briefing. For the reasons stated in the February 7, 2025, screening order, the undersigned will recommend this case proceed solely on plaintiff's deliberate indifference claim against defendant Allred and that all other claims and defendants be dismissed without leave to amend for failure to state a claim.

In accordance with the above, IT IS ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed on April 9, 2025 (ECF No. 15) are VACATED.
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for an extension of time to file supplemental briefing (ECF No. 16) is DENIED as unnecessary.

In addition, IT IS RECOMMENDED that this case proceed on a deliberate indifference claim against defendant Allred and that all other claims and defendants be dismissed without leave to amend for failure to state a claim.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the objections shall be filed and served within fourteen days after service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

Dated: May 9, 2025

8, mccal191.vacfr.amnd

CAROLYN K. DELANEY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE